

## DIAGONALIZABLE NORMAL OPERATORS

J. P. WILLIAMS<sup>1</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** If the image  $\varphi(A)$  of a normal operator  $A$  on a separable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  is a diagonal operator for some nonzero representation  $\varphi$  of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  (that annihilates the compact operators), then  $A$  must itself be a diagonal operator on  $\mathcal{H}$  (with countable spectrum). This yields an "algebraic" characterization of the closure of the range of a derivation induced by a diagonal operator.

**1. Introduction.** If  $A$  is a bounded normal operator on a separable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  can one find a faithful  $C^*$ -representation  $\varphi$  of the full algebra  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  of all bounded linear operators on  $\mathcal{H}$  such that the eigenvectors of  $\varphi(A)$  span the representation space  $\mathcal{H}_\varphi$ , that is, such that  $\varphi(A)$  is a diagonal operator on  $\mathcal{H}_\varphi$ ? The question was raised by B. E. Johnson in connection with some work on derivations [4]. Our purpose here is to supply the answer: only if  $A$  is already a diagonal operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ . We show also that  $\varphi(A)$  is a diagonal operator for a nonzero representation  $\varphi$  of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  that annihilates the compact operators if and only if  $A$  is a diagonal operator with countable spectrum.

The nondiagonalizability result as just stated hardly seems surprising. But it is interesting to note that the proof seems to require a deep result only recently discovered. Moreover, Johnson's question is reasonable because an affirmative answer would provide a satisfying result about derivations, or better, because Berberian [1] has shown that one *can* find a faithful representation  $\varphi$  of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  such that each point of the spectrum of  $\varphi(A)$  is an eigenvalue.

**2. Diagonalizability.** For  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  we shall denote by  $\delta_A$  the inner derivation  $X \rightarrow AX - XA$  on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  and by  $\mathcal{K}$  the ideal of compact operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $A$  be a normal operator on a separable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *There exists a nonzero representation  $\varphi$  of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_\varphi$  (not necessarily faithful) such that  $\varphi(A)$  is a diagonal operator on  $\mathcal{H}_\varphi$ .*
- (2)  *$A$  is a diagonal operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ .*
- (3) *Each positive operator in the norm closure  $\mathcal{R}(\delta_A)^-$  of the range of  $\delta_A$  is compact.*
- (4) *Each projection in  $\mathcal{R}(\delta_A)^-$  has finite rank.*

**PROOF.** The implications (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) and (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4) are trivial. Suppose (1)

---

Received by the editors November 13, 1974.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 46L05, 47B15, 47A50, 47B47.

Key words and phrases. Representations of  $C^*$ -algebras, normal operators, range of a derivation.

<sup>1</sup> Research supported by NSF GP 28601.

holds so that  $\varphi(A)e_i = \lambda_i e_i$  for some orthonormal basis  $\{e_i\}$  of  $\mathfrak{H}_\varphi$ , and let  $Z = \lim \delta_A(X_n)$  be a positive operator in  $\mathfrak{R}(\delta_A)^-$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi(Z)e_i, e_i) &= \lim [(\varphi(A)\varphi(X_n)e_i, e_i) - (\varphi(X_n)\varphi(A)e_i, e_i)] \\ &= \lim [\lambda_i(\varphi(X_n)e_i, e_i) - \lambda_i(\varphi(X_n)e_i, e_i)] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\sqrt{\varphi(Z)}e_i = 0$  for each  $i$  so that  $\varphi(Z) = 0$ . Thus  $Z \in \ker(\varphi) \subset \mathfrak{K}$  because  $\mathfrak{K}$  is separable. Thus (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3).

We complete the proof by showing (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (2), or what is the same, that if  $A$  is not a diagonal operator then  $\mathfrak{R}(\delta_A)^-$  contains an infinite rank projection. By replacing  $A$  with its restriction to the orthocomplement of the span of its eigenvectors, there is no loss of generality in assuming that  $A$  itself has no eigenvectors.

Let  $B$  be the direct sum of countably many copies of  $A$  acting in the usual way on the space  $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}} = \mathfrak{H} \oplus \mathfrak{H} \oplus \mathfrak{H} \oplus \dots$ . Then by a theorem of I. D. Berg [2] there is a unitary transformation  $U$  mapping  $\mathfrak{H}$  onto  $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$  and a compact operator  $K$  on  $\mathfrak{H}$  such that  $U^{-1}BU = A + K$ .

Now  $A$  and  $B$  have no eigenvalues and consequently  $\mathfrak{R}(\delta_A)^-$  and  $\mathfrak{R}(\delta_B)^-$  respectively contain all the compact operators on  $\mathfrak{H}$  and  $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$  respectively [6]. Hence  $\mathfrak{R}(\delta_A)^- = U^{-1}\mathfrak{R}(\delta_B)^-U$ . Also, for the same reason, if  $P_0$  is any nonzero projection of finite rank, we can choose a sequence  $X_n \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{H})$  such that  $\|\delta_A(X_n) - P_0\| \leq n^{-1}$ . Let  $\tilde{X}_n$  be the direct sum of countably many copies of  $X_n$  and let  $\tilde{P}$  be the direct sum of as many copies of  $P_0$ . Then  $\|\delta_B(\tilde{X}_n) - \tilde{P}\| \leq n^{-1}$  so that  $\tilde{P} \in \mathfrak{R}(\delta_B)^-$ , and consequently,  $P = U^{-1}\tilde{P}U$  is a projection of infinite rank in  $\mathfrak{R}(\delta_A)^-$ .

**3. Essential diagonalizability.** A diagonal operator  $A$  on a separable space  $\mathfrak{H}$  has only countably many eigenvalues of course, but the spectrum itself can be any prescribed compact subset of the plane. However, if  $A$  is also diagonalizable by a representation of the Calkin algebra, there is a severe restriction on the spectrum. The first assertion of the next theorem was pointed out to me by C. Foias.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $A$  be a normal operator on a separable Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}$ .*

(1) *If  $\varphi(A)$  is a diagonal operator for some nonzero representation  $\varphi$  of  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{H})$  with  $\varphi(\mathfrak{H}) = 0$ , then the spectrum of  $A$  is countable.*

(2) *Conversely, if  $A$  has countable spectrum, then  $\varphi(A)$  is a diagonal operator for any nonzero representation  $\varphi$  of  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{H})$ .*

**PROOF.** (1) Suppose that the spectrum  $\sigma(A)$  of  $A$  is not countable. Then there is a continuous measure  $\mu$  with support contained in  $\sigma(A)$  [5, p. 176]. (For example, take  $\mu = \nu \circ f^{-1}$  where  $\nu$  is Haar measure on the compact abelian group  $G = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  and  $f$  is a homeomorphism from  $G$  into  $\sigma(A)$ .) Let  $B_0$  be the operator defined by multiplication by the independent variable in  $L^2(\mu)$ . Then  $A$  and  $B = B_0 \oplus A$  have the same essential spectrum, so that by Berg's theorem [2] there is a unitary operator  $U$  from  $L^2(\mu) \oplus \mathfrak{H}$  onto  $\mathfrak{H}$  and a compact operator  $K$  with  $UBU^{-1} = A + K$ . But then  $\varphi(UBU^{-1}) = \varphi(A + K) \equiv \varphi(A)$  is diagonal so that (Theorem 1)  $UBU^{-1}$ , and therefore  $B$  itself, is diagonal. This is a contradiction since  $B_0$  has no eigenvalues.

(2) Suppose that  $A$  is a diagonal operator on  $\mathfrak{H}$  with countable spectrum and let  $\varphi$  be a nonzero representation of  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{H})$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}_\varphi$ . Let  $\mathfrak{N} = \varphi(1)\mathfrak{H}_\varphi$ . If  $X \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{H})$  then  $\varphi(X) = \varphi_0(X) \oplus 0$  on  $\mathfrak{H}_\varphi = \mathfrak{N} \oplus \mathfrak{N}^\perp$  so that  $\varphi_0$  is a representation of  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{H})$  on  $\mathfrak{N}$  and  $\varphi_0(1)$  is the identity operator on  $\mathfrak{N}$ . In particular, the operator  $\varphi_0(A)$  has spectrum contained in  $\sigma(A)$  and is therefore countable. It suffices to show, therefore, that a normal operator  $B$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{N}$  having countable spectrum is diagonal. This fact is well known: if  $\mathfrak{N}_0$  is the span of the eigenvectors of  $B$  then  $\mathfrak{N}_1 = \mathfrak{N} \ominus \mathfrak{N}_0$  reduces to 0; otherwise  $\sigma(B|_{\mathfrak{N}_1})$ , being countable, must have an isolated point and this is necessarily an eigenvalue of  $B$ .

REMARK 1. L. G. Brown has observed that Theorem 2 is valid as stated with the weaker hypothesis that the operator  $A$  is essentially normal, i.e., that  $\pi(A) = A + \mathfrak{K}$  is a normal element of the quotient  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{H})/\mathfrak{K}$ : if  $\sigma(A)$  is uncountable choose a normal operator  $B_0$  with no eigenvalues such that  $\sigma(B_0) \subset \sigma(A)$  and let  $B = A \oplus B_0 \oplus B_0 \oplus \cdots$ . Then  $\mathfrak{R}(\delta_B)^-$  contains a projection of infinite rank so that  $\varphi(B)$  is not a diagonal operator for any nonzero representation  $\varphi$  of  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{H})$ . Hence if  $\varphi(\mathfrak{H}) = 0$  then  $\varphi(A)$  is also not a diagonal operator because  $\pi(A)$  and  $\pi(B)$  are unitarily equivalent [3].

2. After this paper was completed the author discovered a preprint of John G. Aiken, *An application of direct integral theory to a question of Calkin*, [Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **21** (1974), A493]. Aiken constructs a diagonal operator  $A$  such that  $T_u(A)$  is not a diagonal operator for any of the "generalized limit" representations  $T_u$  of  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{H})/\mathfrak{K}$  introduced by J. W. Calkin, thereby answering a question explicitly(!) raised in the latter's famous paper [Ann. of Math. (2) **42** (1941), 839-873. MR 3, 208].

#### REFERENCES

1. S. K. Berberian, *Approximate proper vectors*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **13** (1962), 111—114. MR **24** #A3516.
2. I. D. Berg, *An extension of the Weyl-von Neumann theorem to normal operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **160** (1971), 365—371. MR **44** #840.
3. L. G. Brown, R. G. Douglas and P. A. Fillmore, *Unitary equivalence modulo the compact operators and extensions of  $C^*$ -algebras*, Proc. Conf. on Operator Theory, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 345, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1973.
4. B. E. Johnson and J. P. Williams, *The range of a normal derivation*, Pacific J. Math. **56** (1975).
5. W. Rudin, *Real and complex analysis*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966. MR **35** #1420.
6. J. P. Williams, *On the range of a derivation*, Pacific J. Math. **38** (1971), 273—279. MR **46** #7923.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIANA UNIVERSITY, BLOOMINGTON, INDIANA 47401